Welcome

- Roll Call
- Reminders:
  - 4* to mute/unmute line
  - If your phone plays music while on hold, please do not put us on hold during the conference call.
Today’s Agenda

- Approval of Minutes
- Consolidated Application (Exhibit One) Questions
- Project Review Committee Recommendations
- CHIN Grant & Subsidy
- APR Goals
- Point-in-Time Count
- Reminders

Review & Approve December Minutes

Questions from the Consolidated Application (Exhibit One)

- What methods does the CoC use to determine chronic homeless eligibility and how is data collected for this population? (should be specific to how the local process actually determines eligibility, not a reiteration of recommended HUD processes) (4B)

- *Based on the sheltered PIT count, what gaps/needs were identified in the following: housing, services, mainstream resources (2H)

Questions from the Consolidated Application (Exhibit One)

- Prison/Jail Discharges:
  - Local MOAs with jails? Participation in Regional Committees by jail staff?

- Foster Care Discharges:
  - Local MOAs with DSS? Participation/coordination at Regional Committees to coordinate discharges from foster care?

- Healthcare Discharge Questions:
  - Is the discharge policy in place "State" mandated policy, "CoC" adopted policy or Other? (We are "other" and they ask that if you answer "other" to explain)
  - Describe the efforts that the CoC has taken to ensure that persons are not routinely discharged into homelessness.
  - If the CoC does not have an implemented discharge plan for the healthcare system, specifically describe the gap(s) in completing a comprehensive discharge plan.
  - Specifically, identify the stakeholders and/or collaborating agencies that are responsible for ensuring that person being discharged from a system of care are not routinely discharged into homelessness.
  - Specifically indicate where persons routinely go upon discharge other than HUD McKinney-Vento funded programs.
Project Review Committee

- Each BoS Regional Committee was asked to select one representative for the Project Review Committee
- The Project Review Committee used the 2012 scorecard for renewals and new projects
- The Project Review Committee used the results from the scoring process to rank projects.
- Projects were placed in tiers according to Steering Committee guidance.
- Scorecards will be shared after the application is complete (due to time constraints) and applicants will be offered a debriefing conversation with NCCEH staff.
- Project that would like to appeal should contact Denise Neunaber no later Monday, January 7th.

Ranking Guidelines from BoS Steering Committee

- Put renewals in Tier 1, cut renewals' admin $ in order to fit all renewals in Tier 1
  - 3 projects are not renewing this year so this is not needed. (1 decided not to and 2 got an extension and don’t have to)
- Put new projects in Tier 2
- If there is room in Tier 1 to include a new project, prioritize a project from a region that does not have any existing Permanent Supportive Housing
Determining Final Score

- Scorecard has two parts:
  - Community Section
    - NCCEH Staff and Community Rep score this section. Scores are averaged.
  - Staff Only Section

  Community Section Averaged Score + Staff Section Score
  Final Score

Project not moving forward

- Residential Treatment Services of Alamance
  - New Project Request for Supportive Services for existing PSH
  - Project has 6 beds and currently has full time resident manager
  - Request is for weekend staff and van for transportation
  - Supportive Services Justification did not pass threshold.
    - PSH best practice does not necessitate continual supervision of residents.
    - Extra supervision seems inappropriate for permanent supportive housing. Proposed case manager would be there to control behavior, ensure participants follow program rules on the weekend, provide structured activities on the weekend and provide transportation.
    - 36% of women have been discharged because of weekend behavior.
    - 6 out of 11 participants left in under 60 days. 5 of them under 30 days.

- **Action Needed**: Motion to not include RTSA application in final CoC application
Late Application

- Christians United Outreach Center did not submit their renewal application for TH on time. Applications were due December 7 and the application was turned in December 17th.
- Applicant was aware that a late application meant that the project may lose funding.

Recommendation:
- Application should be placed in Tier Two
  - Project may get funded, depending on HUD funding and overall CoC score.

Renewal Project Thresholds Concerns

- Supportive Services Funding Justification  (community scored)
  - Projects requesting supportive services funding must submit a justification statement that explains why the project is asking for CoC services funding. The statement should include what other funding sources the project utilizes or has worked to secure. The statement should also include a plan for when the project will reduce its use of CoC funds for services.
- Do match letters sufficiently document the required match for the project type? (staff scored)
- Ratio of leverage to request  (staff scored)
- Previous Project Spending Rates  (staff scored)
  - Has this grantee requested extensions or not spent all of their funding for this project? If yes, the applicant must submit an explanation of spending and a plan for improving spending and show a good faith effort to maximize use of current funds.
- Participated in at least ½ of one subcommittee’s meetings  (staff scored)
- Regional Committee Participation  (staff scored)
Projects not meeting subcommittee participation requirement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burlington Development Corporation</td>
<td>HOPE</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington Development Corporation</td>
<td>STEPS</td>
<td>TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners Behavioral Health Management: Northern</td>
<td>SHP Leasing 2013</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockingham County Help for the Homeless</td>
<td>Transitional Housing Renewal</td>
<td>TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanford Housing Authority</td>
<td>SHA S+C Renewal</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Community Ministries</td>
<td>Permanent Housing Renewal</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Community Ministries</td>
<td>Bassett Center TH Renewal</td>
<td>TH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ranking Guidelines

- Create three tiers within Tier 1.
  - Tier 1A: Renewal Projects who met all thresholds
    - Rank CoC-wide HMIS project 1st
    - Rank projects in order of scores
  - Tier 1B: Renewal Projects that did not meet participation threshold requirements
    - Rank projects in order of scores
    - Project from area without an active Regional Committee should be ranked last
  - Tier 1C: Top-ranked new project from unserved county.
- Tier 2A: New Projects who met all threshold requirements
  - Rank projects in order of scores
- Tier 2B: Late Renewal Project
### Tier One A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>NC Housing Coalition</td>
<td>CHIN</td>
<td>HMIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>Surry Homeless &amp; Affordable Housing Coalition</td>
<td>SHAHPC 2009</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>East Carolina Behavioral Health</td>
<td>Tideland Mental Health Center S+C Program</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>108.25</td>
<td>Partners Behavioral Healthcare</td>
<td>Catawba County S+C</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>Graham Housing Authority</td>
<td>GHA S+C Renewal</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>104.75</td>
<td>New Reidsville Housing Authority</td>
<td>S+C Renewal</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>East Carolina Behavioral Health</td>
<td>PSH #1</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>Cardinal (Five County)</td>
<td>SPC Chronic Renewal 2012</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Surry Homeless &amp; Affordable Housing Coalition</td>
<td>PH Renewal Grant 2012</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>Cardinal (PBH)</td>
<td>PBH 2007 S+C</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>96.25</td>
<td>Eastpointe LME</td>
<td>Shelter Plus Care</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>94.25</td>
<td>Cardinal (Alamance-Caswell)</td>
<td>PSH #1</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tier One A continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>94.25</td>
<td>New Reidsville Housing Authority</td>
<td>Reidsville Housing &quot;3023&quot; Renewal</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Cardinal (Alamance)</td>
<td>Shelter Plus Care Renewal NC10C703002</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>92.75</td>
<td>Community Link</td>
<td>2012 PRC-TH Renewal</td>
<td>TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>91.75</td>
<td>Greenville Community Shelters</td>
<td>Solid Ground</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>Cardinal (Five County)</td>
<td>Kerr-Tar PH Renewal</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>86.75</td>
<td>Cardinal (Five County)</td>
<td>Kerr-Tar PH #4</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>84.25</td>
<td>Smoky Mountain Center-Southern</td>
<td>Shelter Plus Care</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>73.75</td>
<td>Housing Authority of the City of Greenville</td>
<td>Cornerstone</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>Cardinal (PBH)</td>
<td>PSH 2012 Renewal</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>Sandhills Community Action Program</td>
<td>Project Homeward Bound (S+C)</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>28.25</td>
<td>Sandhills Community Action Program</td>
<td>Project Homeward Bound (TH)</td>
<td>TH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Tier One B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>105.75</td>
<td>Burlington Development Corporation</td>
<td>HOPE PH</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>Partners Behavioral Healthcare (Northern)</td>
<td>SHP Leasing 2013</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>United Community Ministries</td>
<td>Permanent Housing Renewal</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Burlington Development Corporation</td>
<td>STEPS PH</td>
<td>TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>Rockingham County Help for the Homeless</td>
<td>TH Renewal</td>
<td>TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>45.25</td>
<td>United Community Ministries</td>
<td>Bassett Center TH Renewal 2012</td>
<td>TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Sanford Housing Authority</td>
<td>SHA S+C Renewal 2012</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Tier One C (New Project)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>97.25</td>
<td>Homeward Bound</td>
<td>Pathways to Permanent Housing Henderson County</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Tier Two

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Eastpointe</td>
<td>Southeastern PSH Program</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>85.25</td>
<td>Piedmont Behavioral Healthcare</td>
<td>New PSH</td>
<td>PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>New Reidsville Housing Authority</td>
<td>2012 PSH for Case Management</td>
<td>PSH (Supportive Services)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>Christians United Outreach Center</td>
<td>TH Renewal</td>
<td>TH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action Needed:** Approve final list of projects with tiering and ranking
CHIN Grant & Subsidies

- CHIN has changed their fees due to cost-savings in their budget as a result of Charlotte joining CHIN.

- BoS needs to reevaluate our CHIN grant and subsidy we are providing other CoCs.

- Planning to use a portion of the grant for data analysis and performance measurement.

- Reducing the grant will mean being able to create Homeward Bound’s Permanent Supportive Housing project.

- Action Needed: Approve new subsidy levels for other CoCs

Strategic Performance Goals (APRs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal (HUD)</th>
<th>Actual in 2011</th>
<th>Actual in 2012</th>
<th>1-year goal</th>
<th>5-year goal</th>
<th>10-year goal</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Create new permanent beds for chronically homeless individuals | 142 | 149 | 157 | 168 | 179 | PIT Housing Inventory
| Increase percentage of homeless persons staying in permanent housing over 6 months to at least 77% | 86% | 83% | 87% | 88% | 89% | APRs of all PH grantees
| Increase percentage of homeless persons moving from transitional housing to permanent housing to at least 65% | 81% | 79% | 82% | 83% | 85% | APRs of all TH grantees
| Increase percentage of persons employed at program exit to at least 20% | 40% | 22% | 28% | 29% | 30% | APRs of all housing grantees
| Decrease the number of homeless households with children | 347 | 410 | 340 | 310 | 300 | PIT Count |
New Performance Goals

- Increase the percentage of participants in all CoC-funded projects that obtained mainstream benefits at program exit to 20% or more.
  - 2012 actual = 74%

- Intent of the CoC to reallocate Supportive Services Only (SSO) and Transitional Housing (TH) projects to create new Permanent Housing (PH) projects.
  - This year
  - 2013
  - 2014
  - 2015

2013 Point-in-Time Count

- Point-in-Time Count will be night of Wednesday, January 30
- Collect data on homeless people for PIT Count and bed inventory info for Housing Inventory Count (HIC)

- Changes for 2013 count:
  - Count rapid re-housing programs (ESG-funded, CoC-funded, or other) – considered permanent housing
  - Count people by age category: under 18, age 18 to 24, and over 24
  - Count number of one-child households and number of multi-child households in “households of only children” category
  - Count number of male vs. female veterans

- Resources and guidance posted on NCCEH website:
  http://www.ncceh.org/pointintimehowto/
2013 Point-in-Time Count

- BoS communities must submit to NCCEH:
  - One reporting form from each agency (shelter, transitional housing, rapid re-housing, permanent supportive housing)
  - One reporting form for unsheltered/street count
- NCCEH compiles total count for the BoS CoC and submits both PIT and HIC to HUD

- Each Regional Committee must have a PIT Count Lead Contact
  - Responsible for ensuring all reporting forms are submitted on time
  - Point person for any questions about your RC’s data
- Submit PIT Contact’s name, email address, and phone number to bos@ncceh.org no later than Friday, January 25th

Reminders

- **CoC Applicants:** PDFs of Final Drafts are due January 4 for renewals and January 7 for new projects. Applicants will be asked to submit in esnaps by January 11.

- **Regional Leads:** Regional Committees must elect Regional Leads during January or February Meeting. Regional Committee who want to elect a Regional Lead at another time must contact bos@ncceh.org to apply for a waiver.

- **CoC grantees:** Don’t forget to turn in APRs and QPRs to bos@ncceh.org!

  **PUT THESE ON YOUR ACTION ITEM LIST!**
Last BoS Reminders for Regional Leads...

- Please continue to send Regional Committee agendas, minutes, and meeting info to bos@ncceh.org.
- These are scored on the scorecard, so you want to make sure to keep turning them in!
- Please be sure to share all BoS CoC Steering Committee info pertinent to Regional Committee members with all RC participants.
- Make sure bos@ncceh.org is on your Regional Committee email list.

Stay in touch!

- Email bos@ncceh.org or call 919.755.4393 with any questions or concerns.
- Mark your calendar for the next Steering Committee call at 10:30 am on February 5th!