Scorecard Committee
May 1, 2020
2:00 PM
Agenda

- Roll call
- CoC competition overview
- Introduction to the scorecard
  - Purpose
- FY2019 scoring overview
- Next steps
CoC Competition Overview
Types of CoC applications

- New projects
- Renewal projects
  - About 25 projects will apply to renew
- Components:
  - Permanent housing
    - 19 PSH projects in the CoC
  - Rapid re-housing
    - 4 RRH projects in the CoC
  - Infrastructure (not scored)
    - 1 SSO-CE project
    - 1 HMIS project
CoC Competition Review and Ranking

- CoCs must rank and tier projects based on:
  - Performance
  - HUD and CoC priorities
- Scorecards help us rank projects and set priorities for ranking.
The Project Review Committee and NCCEH staff review each project using the CoC’s scorecards.

The PRC then ranks the projects in order of performance and priority.

HUD requires CoCs to split our eligible funds into two tiers.
FY2020 CoC Grant Competition Timeline

- CoC Registration is complete
- Grant Inventory Worksheet has been delayed
- Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA)
  - Anticipated to come out in the summer
  - Will provide details of available funding
    - New/bonus projects
    - Eligible activities
    - HUD’s priorities and scoring for CoCs
NC BoS CoC Scorecard Overview
Scorecard has 4 goals

- Fund organizations that have the capacity to run effective programs
- Fund projects that reflect the NC Balance of State Continuum of Care’s & HUD’s priorities
- Incentivize agencies to be good partners
- Ensure that funded projects are being good stewards of NC BoS CoC funding and performing to CoC standards
Two scorecards

- New
  - Greater focus on agency capacity and experience

- Renewal
  - Greater focus on grant performance

- Both New and Renewal
  - Same basic standards of program design
Scorecards have two parts

- Part 1: Combined Scoring
  - Scored by NCCEH staff + 1 member of Project Review Committee
  - Scores averaged
- Part 2: Staff Scoring
  - Scored by NCCEH staff only
  - Focused on technical questions and performance
Project Ranking was informed by the CoC’s Funding Priorities and the scorecard.

NC BoS CoC Funding Priorities
Guidance from the Continuum of Care on its priorities for funding. This includes priorities for funding specific project types and regional need.

Scorecard

Thresholds: If projects do not meet them, they cannot move forward in the competition.

Standards: Important aspects that projects are expected to meet. Project standards should be evaluated to determine where ranked or if project is funded.

Section Score Minimums: Ensure every project meets a basic level of performance in every section of the scorecard.

Total Score: Helps determine the order of ranking after considering thresholds and standards.
Minimums are set for each section on both new and renewal scorecards.

- Ensure every project meets a basic level of performance in every section of the scorecard.
Some questions on both new and renewal scorecards are standards.

- Standard options are: met, unmet, unmet-documentation not provided, NA

- Don’t want to award points for something that projects should be doing

- Project Review Committee has used standards as part of the ranking process in the past and uses precedent
  - Housing First
  - PSH Key Elements
  - RRH Performance Benchmarks and Program Standards
Standards on 2019 Renewal Scorecard

- PSH Key Elements
- PSH prioritizing CH beds
- Match documentation
- HUD monitoring findings
- Full participation in Coordinated Entry
- PSH prioritization
- Submitting application and paperwork by CoC deadline
Points and performance

- Using data to measure performance is increasingly important.
- Projects are scored on data including:
  - Targeting: serving people with disabilities, chronically homeless, etc.
  - Positive exits
  - Increases in income
- Points will pull the highest performing programs to the top
FY2019 Scoring Overview
## 2019 Applications Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applications scored and ranked</th>
<th>35 renewal project applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Rapid Re-housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Consolidations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 new project applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Rapid Re-housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 DV Bonus Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 RRH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Supportive Services Only (SSO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for Coordinated Entry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applications not scored and ranked (typically ranked as first project)</th>
<th>1 HMIS grant application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 SSO-Coordinated Entry grant application</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Applications not scored and not ranked | 1 Planning grant application |
Renewal applicants missed a range of standards

Housing First Standard: PRC recommend pulling down in rankings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standards Missed</th>
<th>Number of Renewals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing First</td>
<td>3 agencies, 6 projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key Elements and Benchmarks: PRC recommend pulling down in rankings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standards Missed</th>
<th>Number of Renewals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSH Key Elements (missed 25% or more)</td>
<td>2 agencies, 5 projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRH Benchmarks (missed 25% or more)</td>
<td>1 agency, 1 project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRC recommend not using Coordinated Entry Standards: First year for the questions and CE participation awarded with points
## New project comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Standards missed</th>
<th>Minimums missed</th>
<th>Total Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trillium (RRH)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCDHHS (RRH)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCCADV RRH DV Bonus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCCADV SSO-CE DV Bonus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next Steps

- Review new and renewal FY2019 scorecards
- Provide feedback – are there any changes that need to be made?
- Staff will draft FY2020 scorecards and send to members prior to meetings

Next meetings
- Meeting #2: Friday, May 8, 2:00-3:30
- Meeting #3: Friday, May 15, 2:00-3:30
Wrap Up

- Keep in touch
  - bos@ncceh.org
  - 919.755.4393