


NC BoS Regional Committee Coordinated Assessment Outcome Report

Regional Committee: Region 2 Southern Mountains
Report Due: 10/15/2016
Report Submitted: 7/10/2017
Time Period Covered: April - June
Implementation Date: 7/11/2017

Prevention and Diversion Screen


The Prevention and Diversion screen is administered when households present in a housing crisis to see if there are any other safe housing option available to them besides a shelter bed. This screen allows communities to prioritize shelter beds for those with no other options.


	
Presenting for shelter:	48
Missing P/D Screen:	0
Prevention/Diversion Screen:	48
Missing P/D Screen results:	13

6%
44%
23%

Went to another destination	0
Where?	No information collected


DV	
Referred to DV services:	3

				
Referred to shelter or emergency services:				21
Total who left shelter before VI-SPDAT:				No information collected


	
Total number of households diverted from homeless system:	11
Needed mediation:	2
Needed financial assistance:	0
Total amount provided for diversion:	\$-00
Total amount requested for diversion:	\$-00
Gap in diversion funding:	\$0.00



VI-SPDAT


The VI-SPDAT screen identifies housing barriers for households and assists in identifying and prioritizing households for housing programs.


	
Total VI-SPDAT	15
Unsheltered	7
Sheltered	8
Missing VI-SPDAT scores:	-11
People in shelter w/o VISPDAT	#VALUE!

100%
73%

		
Individual VI-SPDAT Scores		
0-3:	5	33%
4-7:	7	47%
8-17:	3	20%
Total:	15	



		
Family VI-SPDAT Scores		
0-3:	2	18%
4-8:	7	64%
9-22:	2	18%
Total:	11	



Has the community adjusted the standard score ranges?	No
---	----


NC BoS Regional Committee Coordinated Assessment Outcome Report

Explanation of adjusted score ranges	N/A
--------------------------------------	-----

Referrals

As interventions are identified, communities track the referral process for households to see how many were referred, admitted and how this impacts length of time homeless. Communities should look to this data to see if their community has gaps that are impacting the referral process.

Program Type	Total Referrals	Admissions this quarter	Added to wait list this quarter	Missing
Case Management (CM)	7			
Transitional Housing (TH)	0	0	0	0
Rapid Rehousing (RRH)	14	No information collected	14	#VALUE!
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)	5	0	5	0
Total Housing Program Referrals (not including CM):	19	0	19	0



Possible Gaps In System:	
# Scored for RRH but not referred	0
# Scored for PSH but not referred	0

Wait lists	
Total on TH wait list	0
# removed from TH wait list	0
Total on RRH wait list	20
# removed from RRH wait list	0
Total on PSH wait list	15
# removed from PSH wait list	0

Other Destinations	
Health care institution	0
Prison/Jail	0
Other destinations	0
Which other destinations?	No data collected

System Feedback

Individual Grievances	
# of Grievances:	0
# of Grievances Resolved:	0
Average Days to Respond:	N/A

Agency Grievances	
# of Grievances:	0
# of Grievances Resolved:	0
Average Days to Respond:	0

Community Assessment of System

NC BoS Regional Committee Coordinated Assessment Outcome Report

Gaps identified:	Food bank delivery services for the indigent who do not have vehicles, means of transportation, or who fall under age 62.
Addressing gaps:	At this time there are no efforts being made to address this gap, but discussions are being held that helped identify said need.
Side doors identified:	The Rescue Mission and Section 8 housing have an agreement to add a preference to any clients staying at the Rescue Mission for 60 consecutive days. They become a priority on the waiting list for Section 8 housing and the clients get bumped to the top of the list.
Addressing sidedoors:	Meetings in place for the future to discuss further.
What is going well?	There are continued collaborations and partnership through the "caring meeting." This consists of more than 28 organizations.
What are challenges?	Henderson County staff are the only ones collecting this data currently. Transylvania, Polk, and Rutherford seem to be in a constant state of change in staff and roles for county and regional work. They voice not being knowledgeable of NCCEH expectations and are not yet invested in Regionalization work.

Plan Changes and CAC Feedback

Amendments requested for coordinated assessment plan:	not at this time
Feedback for the CAC:	not at this time

NC BoS Regional Committee Coordinated Assessment Outcome Report

CAC Feedback for the Regional Committee:

Great job collecting accurate data! The CAC encourages Region 2 to try to track diversion services a little better - even the smallest help can be counted as mediation. There shouldn't be anyone who is diverted who doesn't receive some basic level of mediation.

NC BoS Regional Committee Coordinated Assessment Outcome Report

IS

NC BoS Regional Committee Coordinated Assessment Outcome Report

Factors

Identified
National (TH)
Identified
Identified (PSH)

NC BoS Regional Committee Coordinated Assessment Outcome Report
