

North Carolina Balance of State Continuum of Care

bos@ncceh.org

919.755.4393

www.ncceh.org/BoS

2015 Scorecard for CoC Funds: Renewal Projects

This scorecard will be used by the Balance of State Project Review Committee to score applications for renewal projects.

This scorecard has four goals:

- Fund organizations that have the capacity to run effective programs (can manage and administer the program, can operate on reimbursement basis, have experience serving this population or a similar one)
- Fund projects that reflect the Balance of State Continuum of Care & HUD's priorities: permanent supportive housing and serving the chronically homeless and veterans
- Incentivize agencies to be good partners (participating in community efforts to end homelessness, on HMIS, helping create infrastructure for their community's homeless service system to operate effectively throughout the year)
- Ensure that funded projects are being good stewards of BoS CoC funding and performing to BoS CoC standards

[References in brackets indicate the section of the application that will be used to score each question. These references will be updated as needed after HUD has released the 2015 application.]

Reviewer:				
Applicant:				
Project Name:				
Project Type (circle one)	PH:PSH	PH:RRH	ТН	
Reviewer Signature:				Date:

PROJECT QUALITY REQUIREMENTS		
Renewal projects must receive at least the minimum score in each	Maximum	PSH: 199
section. If a minimum is not met, further review will be triggered. After	Score	RRH: 184
further review, the Project Review Committee will determine potential	Possible:	TH: 179
consequences, including whether the project is ineligible for inclusion in		
the final BoS CoC application or will receive reduced funding.	Project	
	Score:	

Combined Scoring

This section is scored by two reviewers, a member of the NC BoS Project Review Committee and an NCCEH staff person, and these two scores are averaged for each question. Find more information on the Project Review Committee in the NC BoS CoC Governance Charter: <u>www.ncceh.org/bos</u>

Possible Points	Minimum	Project Sco	re
15	10		
Accuracy and Appropriateness of Re	esponses	Possible Score	Project Score
Is the project description completed [Proj. App: 3B]	and accurate?	3	
Are questions regarding services completed and accurate? [Proj. App: 4A]		3	
Are questions regarding outreach co [Proj. App: 5C]	mpleted and accurate?	3	
Are the standard performance measures completed? Are the goals appropriate for the project and are the descriptions complete? (Score includes both required Standard Performance Measures and any optional Additional Performance Measures) [Proj. App: 6A & 6B]		4	
Is the overall application complete, a	accurate, and error-free?	2	

Section II: HUD and BoS Priorities

Possible Points	Minimum	Project Sco	re
PSH: 10 RRH: 5 TH: 0	0		
Permanent Housing		Possible Score	Project Score
Is this a permanent supportive housing	g (PSH) project that is		
requesting any funds for housing?			
[Proj. App: 3A, question 5 (should say	PH); 3B, question 5 (should say		
PSH); 7I (should have leasing or rental	assistance funds)]		
	Yes	10	
	No	0	
Is this a rapid re-housing (RRH) project housing?	that is requesting any funds for	•	



[Proj. App: 3A, question 5 (should say PH); 3B, question 5 (should say		
RRH); 7I (should have leasing or rental assistance funds)]		
Yes	5	
No	0	
Key Elements of Permanent Supportive Housing	Possible	Project
Rey Elements of Permanent Supportive Housing	Score	Score

If this project is a permanent supportive housing (PSH) project, does it include the following key elements of permanent supportive housing as defined by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA)¹? [Program eligibility requirements, sample lease, program rules, house rules (if any). NCCEH will provide a form for applicants to list services and indicate if they are required or optional.] If the standards are not met, the applicant will have six months from the date of the CoC application submission to comply with the all of the standards to the satisfaction of the BoS Steering Committee or its appointed subcommittee.

Steering committee of its appointed subcommittee.	
Leases or rental agreements do not have any provisions that would	Standard
not be found in leases held by someone who does not have a	(met <i>,</i>
disability.	unmet,
	N/A)
Participation in services is voluntary and tenants cannot be evicted for	Standard
rejecting services.	(met,
	unmet,
	N/A)
House rules, if any, are similar to those found in housing for people	Standard
who do not have disabilities and do not restrict visitors or otherwise	(met,
interfere with a life in the community.	unmet,
	N/A)
Housing is not time-limited, and the lease is renewable at tenants'	Standard
and owners' option.	(met,
	unmet,
	N/A)
Tenants have choices in the support services that they receive. They	Standard
are asked about their choices and can choose from a range of	(met,
services, and different tenants receive different types of services	unmet,
based on their needs and preferences.	N/A)
As needs change over time, tenants can receive more intensive or	Standard
less intensive support services without losing their homes.	(met,
	unmet,
	N/A)

¹ US Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Center for Mental Health Services. (2010) Permanent Supportive Housing: Building Your Program (Evidence-Based Practices KIT). Retrieved from http://store.samhsa.gov/shin/content//SMA10-4510/SMA10-4510-06-BuildingYourProgram-PSH.pdf



Services Funding Plan		
 While services are an important component of supporting households in maintaining their housing, HUD prioritizes using CoC program funds for housing and using other sources of available funding to provide services. Projects requesting HUD funding to provide supportive services must provide a plan on how these services will be funded in the future from alternate sources. Please include these elements: All funding sources that the project is currently using to provide supportive services and if these resources will be expanded. Other potential sources of funding that the project is working to secure to fund supportive services. A plan for when the project will reduce its use of CoC funds for services. 	Standard (met, unmet, N/A)	

Staff Scoring

The following section is scored by NCCEH. Staff use standardized scoring methods to ensure fairness.

Section III: CoC Priorities

			Project
Possible Points	Minimum		Score
21	11		
Enorgy Stor		Possible	Project
Energy Star		Score	Score
Does the project use Ener	gy Star appliances?	1	
[This question is not inclue	ded on the 2015 Project Application]	1	
Housing Over Services		Possible	Project
Housing Over Services		Score	Score
Total \$ request for housir	ng activities (leased units, leased structures,		
and/or rental assistance):			
[Proj. App.: 71]			
Total Assistance Plus Adm	nin requested (not including match):		
[Proj. App.: 7I, line 8 or 9]			
Percentage of total budge	et devoted to housing activities		
(housing activities reques	t ÷ total assistance request x		
100):			
	Less than 35%	0	



Between 35% and 54.9%	5	
Between 55% and 74.9%	10	
Between 75% and 84.9%	15	
Between 85% and 100%	20	
Permanent Supportive Housing: Prioritizing Beds for Chronically	Possible	Project
Homeless Participants	Score	Score
What percentage of the project's beds are prioritized for		
chronically homeless participants? [Proj. App: 4B]		
90% or above	Standard	
	(met, unmet,	
	N/A)	

Section IV: Match & Leverage

Match and leverage amounts are based on documentation submitted by the applicant by the submission deadline. Information submitted after the deadline will not be included in the scoring of these sections.

Possible Points	Minimum	Project Sco	ore
8	Standards met		
		Possible	Project
Documentation of Match	1	Score	Score
Do match letters sufficier	tly document the required match for the project	Standard	
type?		(met,	
		unmet)	
		Possible	Project
Leverage		Score	Score
Total leverage:			
[Proj. App: 7H]			
Total \$ request from HUD):		
[Proj. App: 7I, line 8 or 9]			
Ratio of leverage to reque	est (leverage ÷ request):	1	
	Ratio at least 1.5:1	Standard	
	Ratio 1.5 to 1.99:1	4	
	Ratio 2:1 or more	8	



Section V: Project Performance

Possible Points +	Possible Points -	Minimum	Project	Score
PSH: 145		PSH: 48		
RRH: 135	40	RRH: 30		
TH: 135	-40	TH: 30		
Unless otherwise noted, he following proj	ect performance score	s are based o	n Annual Pe	rformance
Reports (APRs) submitted to HUD through	n Esnaps. If projects dic	l not submit tl	ne required	APR for the
previous year, the projects will receive eit	her no points or the m	ost negative p	oints availa	ble for each
question below.				
Denulations Convol			Possible	Project
Populations Served			Score	Score
What percentage of the households serve	ed by the project were	comprised		
of at least one person with a disability? [H	Proj. App: 5B]			
	Less	s than 100%	0	
		100%	8	
What percentage of the adults served by	the project were veter	ans?		
[APR]				-
	Les	ss than 25%	0	
	Between 25	5% and 49%	4	
	Between 50	% and 74%	8	
	Between 75	5% and 99%	12	
		100%	16	
What percentage of the people (adults an	id children) served by t	the project		
were chronically homeless?				
[Proj. App: 5B]				
		ss than 25%	0	_
		5% and 49%	4	_
		% and 74%	8	_
	Between 75	5% and 99%	12	_
		100%	16	



Performance Data	Possible Score	Project Score
What is the program's unit utilization rate?		
95% or higher	5	
80-94%	0	_
0-79%	-5	_
Did 100% of program participants enter the program from an eligible		1
homeless situation?		
Yes	0	
No	-5	
Transitional Housing and Rapid Re-Housing Applicants: What		1
percentage of program participants exited to a permanent housing		
destination? (Note: First-time RRH applicants will be scored on their		
most recent APR, which reflects TH program performance)		
Performance met HUD Goal: At least 65%	5	
Performance met BoS Goal: At least 82%	15	
Permanent Supportive Housing Programs: what percentage of program		I
participants exited to a permanent housing destination? (If no exits, 10 points is	S	
automatically awarded)		
80% or higher	10	
What percentage of program participants exited to a known destination?		
95% or higher	5	
80-94%	0	
0-79%	-5	
What percentage of program participants were employed at program exit?		
Performance met HUD Goal: At least 20%	5	
Performance met BoS Goal: At least 28%	15	
What percentage of program participants were receiving mainstream benefits	<u>.</u>	
at program exit?	1	- 1
Performance met HUD Goal: At least 20%	5	
Performance met BoS Goal: At least 75%	15	
Permanent Supportive Housing programs: what percentage of program		
participants remained in the program for 6 months or longer?	T	- 1
Performance met HUD Goal: At least 80%	5	
Performance met BoS Goal: At least 94%	15	
HMIS Participation		
(Per federal law, domestic violence programs are prohibited from using	Possible	Project
HMIS and are exempted from this section.)	Score	Score
HMIS Data Completeness [NC HMIS report]		
81-100%	15	
80%	10	-
Below 80%	0	-
Below 80%	0	



If the agency has additional beds (not a part of this project application), are tho	se beds also b	peing
entered into the system? [NC HMIS report; HIC]		
Yes	5	
No	0	
Does the APR that has been submitted to HUD match the APR as pulled from Ne	C HMIS?	
Yes	5	
No	0	
	Possible	Project
HUD Monitoring Findings	Score	Score
Is the recipient free of HUD monitoring findings for any agency projects? If	Standard	
no, findings must be resolved or explained to the satisfaction of the Project	(met,	
Review Committee for the application to meet standards.	unmet)	
[Interview with agency]		
Previous Project Spending Rates These questions are for projects that have	Possible	Project
been operating for at least one year at the time of the NOFA release.	Score	Score
(percentage rounded to the nearest whole number)		
Amount awarded [LOCCS portfolio]		
Amount spent [LOCCS portfolio]		
Percentage 90+%	0	
70-89%	-10	
69% or less	-25	
How many grant extensions from HUD were given in for a reason other than me	erging grants?)
[Interview with agency or information from HUD]		
0	15	
1	0	1
2+	further	1
	review	

Section VI: Deductions

Possible Points -	Minimum	Project Score	
-25	No more than loss of -15		
Budget		Possible	Project
		Score	Score
If questions regarding the budget are not complete and accurate, subtract up		-5	
to 5 points.			

Deadlines	Possible	Project
	Score	Score
If the online application was NOT completed correctly, subtract up to 10	-10	
points. (Specific dates for deadlines will be clarified as the NOFA timeline is		
discerned or published.)		
If required accompanying documents are NOT turned in on time, subtract up	-10	
to 10 points.		
If the online application was not submitted by the deadline, the Project	Standard	
Review Committee will determine potential consequences, including whether	(met, not	
the project is ineligible for inclusion in final BoS CoC application or will receive	met)	
reduced funding.		

