

North Carolina Balance of State Continuum of Care

bos@ncceh.org

919.755.4393

www.ncceh.org/BoS

2015 Scorecard for CoC Funds: Renewal Projects

This scorecard will be used by the Balance of State Project Review Committee to score applications for renewal projects.

This scorecard has four goals:

- Fund organizations that have the capacity to run effective programs (can manage and administer the program, can operate on reimbursement basis, have experience serving this population or a similar one)
- Fund projects that reflect the Balance of State Continuum of Care & HUD's priorities: permanent supportive housing and serving the chronically homeless and veterans
- Incentivize agencies to be good partners (participating in community efforts to end homelessness, on HMIS, helping create infrastructure for their community's homeless service system to operate effectively throughout the year)
- Ensure that funded projects are being good stewards of BoS CoC funding and performing to BoS CoC standards

[References in brackets indicate the section of the application that will be used to score each question.]

Reviewer:					
Applicant:					
Project Name:					
Project Type (circle one)	PH:PSH	PH:RRH	ТН		
Reviewer Signature:				Date:	

PROJECT QUALITY REQUIREMENTS		
Renewal projects must receive at least the minimum score in each section.	Maximum	
If a minimum is not met, further review will be triggered. After further	Score	PSH: 199
review, the Project Review Committee will determine potential	Possible:	RRH: 184 TH: 179
consequences, including whether the project is ineligible for inclusion in		111. 175
final BoS CoC application or will receive reduced funding.	Project	
	Score:	

Combined Scoring

This section is scored by two reviewers, a member of the NC BoS Project Review Committee and an NCCEH staff person, and these two scores are averaged for each question. Find more information on the Project Review Committee in the NC BoS CoC Governance Charter: <u>www.ncceh.org/bos</u>

Possible Points	Minimum	Project Sco	re
15	10		
Accuracy and Appropriateness of Re	esponses	Possible Score	Project Score
Is the project description completed [Proj. App: 3B]	and accurate?	3	
Are questions regarding services completed and accurate? [Proj. App: 4A]		3	
Are questions regarding outreach completed and accurate? [Proj. App: 5C]		3	
Are the standard performance measures completed? Are the goals appropriate for the project and are the descriptions complete? (Score includes both required Standard Performance Measures and any optional Additional Performance Measures) [<i>Proj. App: 6A & 6B</i>]		4	
Is the overall application complete, a	ccurate, and error-free?	2	

Section II: HUD and BoS Priorities

Possible Points	Minimum	Project Sco	re
PSH: 10 RRH: 5 TH: 0	0		
Permanent Housing		Possible Score	Project Score
Is this a permanent supportive housing	g (PSH) project that is		
requesting any funds for housing?			
[Proj. App: 3A, question 5 (should say	PH); 3B, question 6 (should say		
PSH); 7J (leasing or rental assistance fu	ınds)]		
	Yes	10	
	No	0	
Is this a rapid re-housing (RRH) project	that is requesting any funds for	•	
housing?			



RRH); 7J (leasing or rental assistance funds)]		
Yes	5	
No	0	
Key Flowents of Democrant Comparting Housing	Possible	Project
Key Elements of Permanent Supportive Housing	Score	Score
If this project is a Permanent Supportive Housing project, does it include th	e following ke	ey elements of
Permanent Supportive Housing as defined by the Substance Abuse and Mer	ntal Health Se	ervices
Administration (SAMHSA) ¹ ? [eligibility requirements, sample lease, program	n rules, house	e rules (if any
NCCEH will make a form for applicants to list services and indicate if they ar	e required, op	otional, etc] [
the standards are not met, the applicant will have six months from the date	of the CoC A	oplication
submission to comply with the all of the standards to the satisfaction of the	BoS Steering	Committee d
its appointed subcommittee.		
Leases or rental agreements do not have any provisions that would	Standard	
not be found in leases held by someone who does not have a	(met,	
disability.	unmet,	
	N/A)	
Participation in services is voluntary and tenants cannot be evicted for	Standard	
rejecting services.	(met,	
	unmet,	
	N/A	
House rules, if any, are similar to those found in housing for people	Standard	
who do not have disabilities and do not restrict visitors or otherwise	(met,	
interfere with a life in the community.	unmet,	
	N/A)	
Housing is not time-limited, and the lease is renewable at tenants'	Standard	
and owners' option.	(met,	
	unmet,	
	N/A)	
Tenants have choices in the support services that they receive. They	Standard	
are asked about their choices and can choose from a range of	(met,	
services, and different tenants receive different types of services	unmet,	
based on their needs and preferences.	N/A)	
As needs change over time, tenants can receive more intensive or	Standard	
less intensive support services without losing their homes.	(met,	
	unmet,	
	N/A)	

¹ US Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Center for Mental Health Services. (2010) Permanent Supportive Housing: Building Your Program (Evidence- Based Practices KIT). Retrieved from http://store.samhsa.gov/shin/content//SMA10-4510/SMA10-4510-06-BuildingYourProgram-PSH.pdf



Services Funding Plan		
 While services are an important component of supporting households in maintaining their housing, HUD prioritizes using CoC program funds for housing and using other sources of available funding to provide services. Projects requesting HUD funding to provide supportive services must provide a plan on how these services will be funded in the future from alternate sources. Please include these elements: All funding sources that the project is currently using to provide supportive services and if these resources will be expanded. Other potential sources of funding that the project is working to secure to fund supportive services. A plan for when the project will reduce its use of CoC funds for services. 	Standard (met, unmet, N/A)	

Staff Scoring

The following section is scored by NCCEH. Staff use standardized scoring methods to ensure fairness.

Section III: CoC Priorities

			Project
Possible Points	Minimum		Score
21	11		
Enorgy Stor		Possible	Project
Energy Star		Score	Score
Does the project use Ener	gy Star appliances?	1	
[Proj. App: 3A, question 6	1	1	
		Possible	Project
Housing Over Services	Housing Over Services		Score
Total \$ request for housin	g activities (leased units, leased structures,		
and/or rental assistance):			
[Proj. App.: 7J]			
Total Assistance Plus Adm	in requested (not including match):		
[Proj. App.: 7J, line 8 or 9]			
Percentage of total budge	et devoted to housing activities		
(housing activities reques	t ÷ total assistance request x		
100):			
	Less than 35%	0	



Between 35% and 54.9%	5	
Between 55% and 74.9%	10	
Between 75% and 84.9%	15	
Between 85% and 100%	20	
Permanent Supportive Housing: Prioritizing Beds for Chronically	Possible	Project
Homeless Participants	Score	Score
What percentage of the project's beds are prioritized for		
chronically homeless participants?		
90% or above	Standard	
	(met, unmet,	
	N/A)	

Section IV: Match & Leverage

Match and leverage amounts are based on documentation submitted by the applicant by the submission deadline. Information submitted after the deadline will not be included in the scoring of these sections.

Possible Points	ssible Points Minimum		ore
8	Standards met		
		Possible	Project
Documentation of Match	l de la constante de	Score	Score
Do match letters sufficier	tly document the required match for the project	Standard	
type?		(met,	
		unmet)	
		Possible	Project
Leverage		Score	Score
Total leverage:			
[Proj. App: 71]			
Total \$ request from HUD	:		
[Proj. App: 7J, line 8 or 9]			
Ratio of leverage to reque	est (leverage ÷ request):	I	
	Ratio at least 1.5:1	Standard	
	Ratio 1.5 to 1.99:1	4	1
	Ratio 2:1 or more	8	



Section V: Project Performance

Possible Points +	Possible Points -	Minimum	Project Sc	ore
PSH: 145		PSH: 48		
RRH: 135		RRH: 30		
TH: 135	-40	TH: 30		
111. 135		111. 50		
The following project performance scores	are based on Annual F	Performance Re	ports (APRs)	submitted
to HUD through Esnaps. If projects did no	t submit the required A	APR for the prev	vious year, th	e projects
will receive either no points or the most n	egative points availab	e for each ques	tion below.	
			Possible	Project
Populations Served			Score	Score
What percentage of the households serve	ed by the project were	comprised of		
at least one person with a disability? [Pro	j. App: 5A]			
	L	ess than 100%	0	
		100%	8	
What percentage of the adults served by	the project were veter	ans? [Proj.		
App: 5B]				
		Less than 25%	0	
	Between	25% and 49%	4	
	Between	50% and 74%	8	
	Between	75% and 99%	12	
		100%	16	
What percentage of the people (adults ar	id children) served by	the project		
were chronically homeless?				
[Proj. App: 5B]				1
		Less than 25%	0	1
		25% and 49%	4	1
		50% and 74%	8	4
	Between	75% and 99%	12	4
		100%	16	



Performance Data	Possible Score	Project Score
What is the program's unit utilization rate?	30012	30012
95% or higher	5	
80-94%	0	_
0-79%	-5	_
Did 100% of program participants enter the program from an eligible	5	
homeless situation?		
Yes	0	
No	-5	_
Transitional Housing and Rapid Re-Housing Applicants: what	5	
percentage of program participants exited to a permanent housing		
destination? (Note: First time RRH applicants will be scored on their		
most recent APR, which reflects TH program performance)		
Performance met HUD Goal: At least 65%	5	
Performance met BoS Goal: At least 82%	15	-
Permanent Supportive Housing Programs: what percentage of program		
participants exited to a permanent housing destination? (if no exits, 10 points is	5	
automatically awarded)		
80% or higher	10	
What percentage of program participants exited to a known destination?	_	
95% or higher	5	
80-94%	0	-
0-79%	-5	
What percentage of program participants were employed at program exit?		
Performance met HUD Goal: At least 20%	5	
Performance met BoS Goal: At least 28%	15	-
What percentage of program participants were receiving mainstream benefits		
at program exit?		
Performance met HUD Goal: At least 20%	5	
Performance met BoS Goal: At least 75%	15	_
Permanent Supportive Housing programs: what percentage of program		
participants remained in the program for 6 months or longer?		
Performance met HUD Goal: At least 80%	5	
Performance met BoS Goal: At least 94%	15	
HMIS Participation		
(Per federal law domestic violence programs are prohibited from using	Possible	Project
HMIS and exempted from this section)	Score	Score
HMIS Data Completeness [NC HMIS report]		
81-100%	15	
80%	10	1
Below 80%	0	



If the agency has additional beds (not a part of this project application), are those beds also being entered into the system? [NC HMIS report; HIC]

Yes	5	
No	0	
Does the APR that has been submitted to HUD match the APR as pulled from Net	C HMIS?	
Yes	5	
No	0	
	Possible	Project
HUD Monitoring Findings	Score	Score
Is the recipient free of HUD monitoring findings for any agency projects? If	Standard	
no, findings must be resolved or explained to the satisfaction of the Project	Yes/No	
Review Committee for the application to meet standards.		
[Interview with agency]		
Previous Project Spending Rates These questions are for projects that have	Possible	Project
been operating for at least one year at the time of the NOFA release.	Score	Score
(percentage rounded to the nearest whole number)		
Amount awarded		
Amount spent		
Percentage 90+%	0	
70-89%	-10	
69% and less	-25	
How many grant extensions from HUD were given in for a reason other than me	erging grants?	[Interview
with agency or information from HUD]		
0	15	
1	0	
2+	further	
	review	

Section VI: Deductions

Possible Points -	Minimum	Project Score	
-25	Not more than loss of -15		
Budget		Possible	Project
		Score	Score
If questions regarding the	budget are not complete and accurate, subtract up	-5	
to 5 points.			
Deadlines		Possible	Project
		Score	Score
If the online application v	vas NOT completed correctly, subtract up to 10	-10	
points. (Specific dates for	r deadlines will be clarified as the NOFA timeline is		



discerned or published.)		
If required accompanying documents are NOT turned in on time, subtract up	-10	
to 10 points.		
If the online application was not submitted by the deadline, the Project	Standard	
Review Committee will determine potential consequences, including whether	(met, not	
the project is ineligible for inclusion in final BoS CoC application or will receive	met)	
reduced funding.		

