

North Carolina Balance of State Continuum of Care

bos@ncceh.org

919.755.4393

www.ncceh.org/BoS

2014 Scorecard for CoC Funds: Renewal Projects

This scorecard will be used by the Balance of State Project Review Committee to score applications for renewal projects.

This scorecard has five goals:

- Fund organizations that have the capacity to run effective programs (can manage and administer the program, can operate on reimbursement basis, have experience serving this population or a similar one)
- Fund projects that reflect the Balance of State Continuum of Care & HUD's priorities: permanent supportive housing and serving the chronically homeless and veterans
- Incentivize agencies to be good partners (participating in community efforts to end homelessness, on HMIS, helping create infrastructure for their community's homeless service system to operate effectively throughout the year)
- Incentivize regional committees to strengthen their performance and capacity
- Ensure that funded projects are being good stewards of BoS CoC funding and performing to BoS CoC standards

[References in brackets indicate the section of the application that will be used to score each question.]

Reviewer:				
Applicant:				
Project Name:				
Project Type (circle one)	PH:PSH	PH:RRH	ТН	
Reviewer Signature:				Date:

PROJECT QUALITY THRESHOLD			
Minimum Threshold	Renewal projects must receive a minimum score	Maximum	
Requirement	in each section above. If the minimum is not met,	Score	100
	further review will be triggered. After further	Possible:	198
	review, the project may be ineligible for inclusion		
	in final BoS CoC application.	Project	
		Score:	

Community Section

This section is scored by two reviewers. One reviewer is a member of the NC BoS Project Review Funding Committee and the other is a CoC staffer. The two scores are averaged together to determine the final score per question. More information on the Project Review Funding Committee can be found in the NC BoS CoC Governance Charter at <u>www.ncceh.org/bos</u>.

Section I: Correctness of	Application			
Possible Points +	Possible Points -	Minimum	Project Score	
15	0	10		
			Possible	Project
Accuracy and Appropriat	eness of Responses		Score	Score
Is the project description	completed and accurate?		3	
[Proj. App: 3B]				
Are questions regarding s	ervices completed and acc	urate?	3	
[Proj. App: 4A]				
Are questions regarding c	outreach completed and ac	curate?	3	
[Proj. App: 5C]				
Are the standard perform	ance measures completed	? Are the goals	4	
appropriate for the project	ct? If the applicant chose t	o complete additional		
performance measures, a	re they appropriate for the	project? Are the		
descriptions complete?				
[Proj. App: 6A & 6B]				
Is the overall application of	complete, accurate, and er	ror-free?	2	
Section II: HUD and	BoS Priorities			
Possible Points +	Possible Points -	Minimum	Project	t Score
71	0	42		
Targeting People with Dis	sabilities			
What percentage of the h	ouseholds served by the p	roject are expected to	Possible	Project
contain at least one perso	on with a disability?		Score	Score
[Proj. App: 5A]				
		Less than 100%	0	
		100%	8	



Targeting Veterans		
What percentage of the adults served by the project are expected to be veterans? [<i>Proj. App: 5B</i>]	Possible Score	Project Score
Less than 25%	0	
Between 25% and 49%	4	
Between 50% and 74%	8	
Between 75% and 99%	12	
100%	16	
Targeting People Who Are Chronically Homeless		
What percentage of the people (adults and children) served by the project are expected to be chronically homeless? [Proj. App: 5B]	Possible Score	Project Score
Less than 25%	0	
Between 25% and 49%	4	
Between 50% and 74%	8	
Between 75% and 99%	12	
100%	16	
Permanent Housing		
Is this a permanent supportive housing (PSH) project that is requesting any funds for housing? [Proj. App: 3A, question 5 (should say PH); 3B, question 6 (should say PSH); 7J (leasing or rental assistance funds)]	Possible Score	Project Score
Yes	10	
No	0	
Is this a rapid re-housing (RRH) project that is requesting any funds for housing? [Proj. App: 3A, question 5 (should say PH); 3B, question 6 (should say RRH); 7J (leasing or rental assistance funds)]		
Yes	5	
No	0	
Best Practice Models		
Is this a transitional housing (TH) project that operates a transition-in-place model? [Proj. App: 3A, question 5; 3B, question 1]	Possible Score	Project Score
Is this a transitional housing (TH) project that operates a transition-in-place model?		Project Score



Energy Star	Possible	Project
	Score	Score
Does the project use Energy Star appliances?	1	
[Proj. App: 3A, question 6]		
Housing Over Services		
Total \$ request for housing activities (leased units, leased structures, rental		
assistance):		
[Proj. App.: 7J]		
Total Assistance Plus Admin requested (not including match):		
[Proj. App.: 7J, line 8 or 9]		
Percentage of total budget devoted to housing activities (housing activities	Possible	Project
request ÷ total assistance request x 100):	Score	Score
Less than 35%	0	
Between 35% and 54.9%	5	
Between 55% and 74.9%	10	
Between 75% and 84.9%	15	
Between 85% and 100%	20	
Projects requesting supportive services funding must submit a justification		
statement that explains why the project is asking for CoC services funding.		
The statement should include what other funding sources the project utilizes	(yes, no,	
or has worked to secure. The statement should also include a plan for when	n/a)	
the project will reduce its use of CoC funds for services.		

