

2014 Scorecard for CoC Funds: Renewal Projects

This scorecard will be used by the Balance of State Project Review Committee to score applications for renewal projects.

This scorecard has five goals:

- Fund organizations that have the capacity to run effective programs (can manage and administer the program, can operate on reimbursement basis, have experience serving this population or a similar one)
- Fund projects that reflect the Balance of State Continuum of Care & HUD's priorities: permanent supportive housing and serving the chronically homeless and veterans
- Incentivize agencies to be good partners (participating in community efforts to end homelessness, on HMIS, helping create infrastructure for their community's homeless service system to operate effectively throughout the year)
- Incentivize regional committees to strengthen their performance and capacity
- Ensure that funded projects are being good stewards of BoS CoC funding and performing to BoS CoC standards

[References in brackets indicate the section of the application that will be used to score each question.]

Reviewer:					
Applicant:					
Project Name:					
Project Type (circle one)	PH:PSH	PH:RRH	ТН		
Reviewer Signature:				Date:	

PROJE	CT QUALITY THRESHOLD		
Minimum Threshold	Renewal projects must receive a minimum score	Maximum	
Requirement	in each section above. If the minimum is not met,	Score	198
	further review will be triggered. After further	Possible:	198
	review, the project may be ineligible for inclusion		
	in final BoS CoC application.	Project	
		Score:	

Possible Points +	Possible Points -	Minimum		Project	t Score	
15	0	10				
Accuracy and Appropriat	eness of Responses		Po	ssible Score	Project Score	
Is the project description	completed and accurate?			3		
[Proj. App: 3B]						
Are questions regarding s	tions regarding services completed and accurate?			3		
[Proj. App: 4A]						
Are questions regarding of	outreach completed and acc	curate?		3		
[Proj. App: 5C]						
Are the standard perform	Are the standard performance measures completed? Are the goals			4		
appropriate for the project	ct? If the applicant chose to	o complete				
additional performance m	neasures, are they appropri	ate for the project?				
Are the descriptions complete?						
[Proj. App: 6A & 6B]						
Is the overall application	complete, accurate, and er	ror-free?		2		

Section II: HUD and	BoS Priorities			
Possible Points +	Possible Points -	Minimum	Pro	ect Score
71	0	42		
Targeting People with Dis	sabilities			
What percentage of the h	ouseholds served by the p	roject are expected	Possible Scor	e Project
to contain at least one pe	rson with a disability?			Score
[Proj. App: 5A]				
		Less than 100%	0	
		100%	8	
Targeting Veterans				
What percentage of the a	dults served by the project	t are expected to be	Possible Scor	e Project
veterans?				Score
[Proj. App: 5B]				
		Less than 25%	0	
	Be	etween 25% and 49%	4	
	Be	tween 50% and 74%	8	
	Be	etween 75% and 99%	12	



100%	16	
Targeting People Who Are Chronically Homeless	10	
Targeting People who are chronically nomeless		
What percentage of the people (adults and children) served by the	Possible Score	Project
project are expected to be chronically homeless?		Score
[Proj. App: 5B]		
Less than 25%	0	
Between 25% and 49%	4	
Between 50% and 74%	8	
Between 75% and 99%	12	
100%	16	
Permanent Housing		
Is this a permanent supportive housing (PSH) project that is requesting	Possible Score	Project
any funds for housing?		Score
[Proj. App: 3A, question 5 (should say PH); 3B, question 6 (should say		
PSH); 7J (leasing or rental assistance funds)]		
Yes	10	
No	0	
Is this a rapid re-housing (RRH) project that is requesting any funds for		
housing?		
[Proj. App: 3A, question 5 (should say PH); 3B, question 6 (should say		
RRH); 7J (leasing or rental assistance funds)]		
Yes	5	
No	0	
Best Practice Models		
Is this a transitional housing (TH) project that operates a transition-in-	Possible Score	Project
place model?		Score
[Proj. App: 3A, question 5; 3B, question 1]		
Yes	5	
No	0	
Energy Star	Possible Score	Project
01		Score
Does the project use Energy Star appliances?	1	
[Proj. App: 3A, question 6]		
Housing Over Services		
-		
Total \$ request for housing activities (leased units, leased structures,		
Total \$ request for housing activities (leased units, leased structures, rental assistance):		
rental assistance):		

Comment [DN1]: This is a new question. Three programs that were operating as a TH program are renewing as rapid re-housing. It mirrors the transition-in-place question below.

Page 3 of 9



Percentage of total budget devoted to housing activities (housing	Possible Score	Project
activities request ÷ total assistance request x 100):		Score
Less than 35%	0	
Between 35% and 54.9%	5	
Between 55% and 74.9%	10	
Between 75% and 84.9%	15	
Between 85% and 100%	20	
Projects requesting supportive services funding must submit a		
justification statement that explains why the project is asking for CoC	Threshold	
services funding. The statement should include what other funding	(yes, no, n/a)	
sources the project utilizes or has worked to secure. The statement		
should also include a plan for when the project will reduce its use of CoC		
funds for services.		

Page 4 of 9



STAFF SECTION ONLY

Because Project Review Committee members from the community do not have access to documents needed to score the criteria below, the following sections will be scored only by staff of NCCEH.

Section II: HUD & NC BoS Priorities

Possible Points +	Possible Points -	Minimum	Project	Score
6	0	0		
If this project is a Perman following key elements of agency] Note: This will be documentation will be red	Possible Score	Project Score		
not be found in le disability.	greements do not have any ases held by someone who	o does not have a	1	
 Participation in se for rejecting servi 	ervices is voluntary and ten ces.	ants cannot be evicted	1	
• House rules, if any, are similar to those found in housing for people who do not have disabilities and do not restrict visitors or otherwise interfere with a life in the community.			1	
 Housing is not tim and owners' optic 	1			
are asked about t services, and diffe	ices in the support services heir choices and can choos erent tenants receive differ eds and preferences.	e from a range of	1	
_	over time, tenants can rece port services without losin		1	
Section III: Correctn	ess of Application			
Possible Points +	Possible Points -	Minimum	Project	Score
0	-25	Not more than -10		
Application Budget			Possible Score	Project Score
If questions regarding the up to 5 points.	budget are not complete a	and accurate, subtract	-5	

Comment [DN2]: The question in this section was a threshold question last year and was scored in the community section. Because the information is not available in the project application, these questions will be asked in the applicant interview. This question has been moved to staff scoring as staff will be conducting the interviews.

Page 5 of 9



Meeting of Deadlines			Possible Score	Project Score
If the online application v	e online application via esnaps was NOT completed correctly and in a			
timely manner, subtract u	-10			
	imeline is discerned or pub			
applications may be held	•			
	documents are NOT turne	d in on time, subtract	-10	
up to 10 points.				
Section IV: Match &	Leverage			
Possible Points +	Possible Points -	Minimum	Project	Score
8	0	Threshold		
			Possible	Project
Documentation of Match	l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		Score	Score
Do match letters sufficien	tly document the required	match for the project	Threshold	
type?			(yes, no)	
Leverage				•
Total leverage:				
[Proj. App: 7I]				
Total \$ request from HUI	D:			
[Proj. App: 7J, line 8 or 9]				
Ratio of leverage to reque	est (leverage/request):		Possible	Project
			Score	Score
		Ratio at least 1.5:1	Threshold	
		Ratio 1.5 to 1.99:1	4	
		Ratio 2:1 or more	8	
Section V: APR Data	I			
Possible Points +	Possible Points -	Minimum	Project	Score
<u>80</u> 85	- <u>25</u> 20	50		
Project Performance				
What is the program's un	it utilization rate?		Possible	Project
		0534	Score	Score
		95% or higher	5	
		80-94%	0	4
D: 14000/ 5		0-79%	-5	
	ticipants enter the program	n trom an eligible	Possible	Project
homeless situation?			Score	Score
		Yes	<u>50</u>	4
		No	0_5	

Page 6 of 9



Transitional Housing and Rapid Re-Housing ApplicantsPrograms: what	Possible	Project
percentage of program participants exited to a permanent housing	Score	Score
destination? (points are awarded for meeting each goal) (Note: First time		
RRH applicants will be scored on their most recent APR, which reflects TH		
program performance)		
Performance met HUD Goal: At least 65%	5	
Performance met BoS Goal: At least 82%	10	
Permanent Supportive Housing Programs: what percentage of program	Possible	Project
participants exited to a permanent housing destination? (if no exits, 10	Score	Score
points is automatically awarded)		
_80% or higher	10	
What percentage of program participants exited to a known destination?	Possible	Project
	Score	Score
95% or higher	5	
80-94%	0	1
0-79%	-5	1
What percentage of program participants were employed at program exit?	Possible	Project
(points are awarded for meeting each goal)	Score	Score
Performance met HUD Goal: At least 20%	5	
Performance met BoS Goal: At least 28%	10	
What percentage of program participants were receiving mainstream	Possible	Project
benefits at program exit? (points are awarded for meeting each goal)	Score	Score
Performance met HUD Goal: At least 20%	5	
Performance met BoS Goal: At least 75%	10	
Permanent Supportive Housing programs: what percentage of program	Possible	Project
participants remained in the program for 6 months or longer? (points are	Score	Score
awarded for meeting each goal)		
Performance met HUD Goal: At least 80%	5	
Performance met BoS Goal: At least 87<u>94</u>%	10	
HMIS Participation		
(Per federal law - does not apply to domestic violence programs)		T
HMIS Data Completeness:	Possible	Project
[CHIN report]	Score	Score
81-100%	5	
80%	0	
below 80%	-10	
If the agency has additional beds (not a part of this project application), are	Possible	Project
those beds also being entered into the system?	Score	Score
[CHIN report; HIC]		
Yes	5]
No	0	
Does the agency commit to enter 100% of the beds into the system (with	Threshold	

Page 7 of 9



client consent)?	(Yes/No)	
[Will be yes unless data quality is significantly problematic]		
Does the APR that has been submitted to HUD match the APR as pulled	5	
from CHIN?		
	Possible	Project
HUD Monitoring Findings	Score	Score
Are there anyls the recipient free of HUD monitoring findings currently	<u>Threshold</u>	
associated with <u>for</u> any of the agency's projects? If <u>n</u> so, findings must be	Yes/No	
resolved or explained to the satisfaction of the Project Review Committee		
for the application to meet threshold.		
[Interview with agency]		
	Possible	Project
Previous Project Spending Rates	Score	Score
Has theis grantee recipient spent requested extensions or not spent all of	Threshold	
their funding for this project without asking for extension(s)? If noyes, the	(Yes/No)	
applicantrecipient -must submit an explanation of spending and plan for		
improving spending and show a good faith effort to maximize use of current		
funds. The explanation must meet the satisfaction of the Project Review		
Committee for the application to meet threshold.		
		1

Section VI: Agency's Relationship to Community

Possible Points +	Possible Points -	Minimum	Proj	ect Score
<u>6</u> 7	-8	Not more than -3		
	Committee Activities <u>(hom</u>			
NOTE: 2015 Scorecard wi	Il score this section based	on recipient participation	in all Region	<u>al</u>
Committees covered by g	rant activities			
Does the applicant active	y participate in Regional Co	ommittee(s)?	Possible	Project
[Conversation with RC lea	d; RC minutes]		Score	Score
Actively participate in Regional Committee meetings		Threshold		
(75% of meetings <u>Jan-Oct 2014 in past 6 months</u>)				
Presented application to Regional Committee to be reviewed			Threshold	
Note: While strong	ly encouraged, due to the 2	2014 NOFA's timeframe,		
	threshold req	uirement will be waived		
Participated in regional ESG application process			Threshold	
Recipient does not	present project updates to	o RC on a quarterly basis	-2	
Recipient does not have open community referral process		-2		
Participation in Balance of State Activities				
			Possible	Project
Does the agency actively	participate in the following	BoS activities?	Score	Score



Pa	articipate in BoS Steering Co	ommittee <u>(Jan-Oct 2014)</u>	1	
<u>Particip</u>	ated in 3/5 of Data Quality S	Subcommittee Meetings	<u>1</u>	
PSH Projects: Participated in 2/3 of Permanent Supportive Housing Subcommittee Meetings			<u>1</u>	
RRH or TH Projects: Par	ticipated in 2/3 of Rapid Re		1	
Participate in subco	mmittee meetings (Perman		2	
		e-Housing, Data Quality)	_	
Partici	pated in at least ½ of one su	ubcommittee's meetings	Threshold	
Did not submit Po	int-in-Time and Housing Inv	entory data by deadline	-2	
Does not sub	mit reports for existing pro	jects in a timely manner	-2	
Participation in Other Co	mmunity Coordination Act	tivities		
Are there other housing/ the region in which the a [Interview with agency]	homeless related coalitions gency participates?	or partnerships within	Possible Score	Project Score
[interview with agency]		Consolidated Plan	1	
		Other		
Section VII: Region	al Performance			
Possible Points +	Possible Points -	Minimum	Project Score	
<u>12</u> 14	-2	Threshold		
Regional Committee Par	ticipation in BoS Activities		Possible	Project
NOTE: 2015 Scorecard w	ill score this section based	on performance of all	Score	Score
Regional Committees co	vered by grant activities			
Does the agency's Region meetings?	al Committee regularly sub	omit minutes from their	2 Threshold	
What percentage of 201	4 Steering Committee mee	etings (Jan-Oct) has the	Possible	Project
Regional Committee Lead	d or official alternate partici	ipated in?	Score	Score
		<u>80</u> 75% (9 of 12 8 of 10)	Threshold	
	<u>90%</u> 75-4	99% (10-11 of 12 9 of 10)	2	
		100% (12 of 12<u>10 of 10</u>)	4	
	eds covered and reported in	HMIS:	Possible	Project
[HIC]			Score	Score
		0-49%	-2	
		50-75%	0	
		75-100%	8	

