



## NC Balance of State Continuum of Care

Steering Committee  
October 20, 2014  
12:30 PM

### Welcome

- Roll Call
- Reminders
  - \*6 to mute/unmute line
  - Please do not put us on hold
    - Hold music is disruptive



## Today's Agenda

- Scoring review – whys and hows
- Special considerations
- Review project scores
- Options for Tier 1 & Tier 2 projects
- Project ranking



## 2014 Funding Context

- 41 renewal projects from 22 applicants
  - 1 projected granted an extension from HUD
  - Will renew next year
- Total Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) = \$ 5,696,021
  - Tier One = **\$ 5,582,100**
  - Original Tier Two = \$ 113,920
    - Extension project = \$49,935
  - Final Tier Two = **\$63,985**
- No bonus project application



## Determining Final Score

- Scorecard has two parts
  - Community Section
    - NCCEH staff & Project Review Committee rep score
    - Scores are averaged
  - Staff Only Section

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \text{Community Section Averaged Score} \\
 + \text{ Staff Section Score} \\
 \hline
 \text{Final Score}
 \end{array}$$



## Review of Project Scores

- Scores
  - 40 projects scored
    - CHIN grant not scored
    - 1 grant got extension, will renew in 2015
  - Total points possible: 198
  - Highest score: 159.5
  - Lowest score: 16.5
  - Average score: 98.1
  - Median score: 100.5



## Special Considerations

- Late applications
  - No application materials submitted by deadline
  - Project Review Committee placed projects at bottom of ranking



## Special Considerations

- APR Scoring
  - Annual Performance Report, required by HUD
  - Large number of points in BoS Scorecard
    - TH and RRH – 55 points added
    - PSH – 65 points added
    - All – Loss of 15 points possible



## Special Considerations

- 3 types of scoring APR problems
  - Not submitted to HUD
    - Unable to score
  - Not submitted to HUD because of submission error
    - Applicant waiting on HUD helpdesk to fix
    - Scored
  - Incorrect info within report & in CHIN
    - Unable to score



## Review of Project Scores

- 3 Sections had minimum point issues
  - HUD & BoS Priorities: Community
    - 31 projects did not meet minimum
  - Correctness of Application: Staff
    - 8 projects did not meet minimum
      - 7 late applications + 1 with many errors
  - APR Data: Staff
    - 29 projects did not meet minimum



## Review of Project Scores

- Threshold Issues

Category	Did Not Meet Threshold
Supportive Services Statement	6
Spending	19
Participate in Regional Committee	6
Participate in ESG Process	7
Regional Committee Minutes	7
Steering Committee Attendance	3



## Thresholds & Minimums

- Project Review Committee asks Steering Committee to evaluate project performance to help improve for next CoC application
- Targeted technical assistance from NCCEH staff
  - Performance Improvement Process could help to address these issues



## Project Ranking

- HMIS grant (CHIN) ranked first
  - Community-wide project
  - Required by HUD
  - Scorecard not designed to measure
- Late applications at the bottom
- Other applications ranked
  - By total score & project type according to HUD's priorities (method for 2013 application)



## Project Rankings

Rank	Agency	Grant	Late ?	Type	TOTAL SCORE	Amount (GIW)
1	North Carolina Housing Coalition	2013 CHIN Renewal-Balance of State	n	HMIS	--	\$519,299
2	Cardinal Innovations PBH	PBH 2009 Shelter Plus Care Program	n	PH	159.5	\$136,656
3	Smoky Mountain Center - Central	SPC Central HELP for Chronically Homeless	n	PH	140.5	\$43,906
4	Burlington Development Corp.	HOPE PH	n	PH	133.5	\$77,646
5	Smoky Mountain Center - Central	Shelter Plus Care 2009 Project	n	PH	130.5	\$66,319
6	Surry Homeless and Affordable Hsg Coalition	SHAHC PH Renewal	n	PH	128.5	\$108,571
7	Partners BHM - Central	Partners-Central MHP Renewal	n	PH	124.5	\$30,039



## Project Rankings

Rank	Agency	Grant	Late ?	Type	TOTAL SCORE	Amount (GIW)
8	Smoky Mountain Center - Central	Shelter Plus Care Central HELP Initiative	n	PH	124.5	\$55,468
9	Partners BHM - Northern	SHP Leasing 2015	n	PH	120	\$55,154
10	ECBH	PSH #1	n	PH	115	\$479,914
11	United Community Ministries	UCM Permanent Housing Renewal	n	PH	114	\$91,869
12	Partners BHM - Central	Safe at Home Renewal	n	PH	112	\$47,739
13	Community Link	PRC Permanent Supportive Housing Renewal	n	PH	108.5	\$240,365
14	Eastpointe	Shelter Plus Care Renewal (Grant #1)	n	PH	107	\$109,598



## Project Rankings

Rank	Agency	Grant	Late ?	Type	TOTAL SCORE	Amount (GIW)
15	Eastpointe	Shelter Plus Care Renewal (Grant #2)	n	PH	107	\$108,421
16	Cardinal Innovations PBH	PBH-2012 Renewal PSH	n	PH	102.5	\$192,836
17	Cardinal Innovations PBH	PBH 2007 PH Renewal	n	PH	101	\$109,190
18	Greenville Community Shelters	Solid Ground	n	PH	101	\$63,605
19	Residential Treatment Services of Alamance	Alamance Women's PH	n	PH	100	\$60,555
20	Rockingham Co. Help for Homeless	Permanent Grant 2014	n	PH	98.5	\$234,083
21	Christians United Outreach Center	CUOC SHP Renewal Rapid Re-Housing	n	PH	94	\$114,732



## Project Rankings

Rank	Agency	Grant	Late ?	Type	TOTAL SCORE	Amount (GIW)
22	Greenville Community Shelters	Project Stable Solutions	n	PH	92.5	\$57,891
23	Greenville HA	Cornerstone	n	PH	89.5	\$98,578
24	Greenville HA	Seeds of Change	n	PH	85.5	\$166,766
25	Community Link	PRC Rapid Re-Housing for Families	n	PH	84.5	\$317,893
26	Partners BHM - Northern	Shelter Plus Care 2015	n	PH	83.5	\$98,919
27	Sandhills Community Action Program	Project Homeward Bound Shelter + Care	n	PH	83	\$3,693
28	New Reidsville HA	2014 CoC Renewal	n	PH	82.5	\$261,107



## Project Rankings

Rank	Agency	Grant	Late?	Type	TOTAL SCORE	Amount (GIW)
29	Homeward Bound	Pathways to Permanent Housing	n	PH	76	\$85,492
30	Homeward Bound	Pathways to Permanent Housing 2	n	PH	76	\$90,951
31	Burlington Development Corp.	STEPSTH	n	PH	32	\$65,476
32	Rockingham Co. Help for Homeless	Transitional Grant 2014	n	TH	89.5	\$191,198
33	United Community Ministries	UCMTH Bassett Center	n	TH	61.5	\$89,880
34	Sandhills Community Action Program	Project Homeward Bound Transitional	n	TH	16.5	\$167,954



## Project Rankings

Rank	Agency	Grant	Late ?	Type	TOTAL SCORE	Amount (GIW)
35	Cardinal Innovations OPC	Chatham-Person S+C Renewal	y	PH	129.5	\$108,408
36	Cardinal Innovations Five County	Kerr-Tar PH 2 Renewal	y	PH	128	\$82,150
37	Cardinal Innovations Five County	SPC Chronic Renewal	y	PH	117.5	\$99,894
38	Cardinal Innovations Five County	Kerr Tar PH Renewal	y	PH	83	\$380,365
39	Cardinal Innovations Five County	Kerr-Tar PH 4 Renewal	y	PH	80.5	\$99,484
40	Cardinal Innovations Alamance Caswell	Shelter Plus Care 2 Renewal	y	PH	55.5	\$27,837
41	Cardinal Innovations Alamance Caswell	Shelter Plus Care 1 Renewal	y	PH	54	\$206,185



## Tiering

- Lowest scored, late application(s) falls into Tier 2
  - Tier One = **\$ 5,582,100**
  - Final Tier Two = **\$63,985**
    - Lowest Project, Cardinal Alamance Caswell, \$206,185
  - Leaves \$142,200 on the table for Tier 1
- Give the grantee the option to make the choice of which of their late applications goes into Tier 2 in order to maximize funding in Tier 1



## Action Needed

- Approve final list of projects with tiering and ranking



## Wrap Up

- Keep in touch
  - [bos@ncceh.org](mailto:bos@ncceh.org)
  - (919) 755-4393

